



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,851	10/17/2003	Susan B. Cirulli	END920030048US1	9562
45092 7590 11/08/2010 HOFFMAN WARNICK LLC 75 STATE ST 14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY 12207				
EXAMINER				
POND, ROBERT M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3625				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/08/2010		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOCommunications@hoffmanwarnick.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/687,851  <b>Examiner</b> Robert M. Pond	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CIRULLI ET AL.  <b>Art Unit</b> 3625
---	---	--

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert M. Pond.

(2) Mr. Hunter Webb, #54,593.

**Date of Interview:** 3 November 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2 PM EDT

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 103(a)

Claims discussed:  
 1

Prior art documents discussed:  
 Adams (US 7117165); Gune (paper #20100718)

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Concluded discussions pertaining to proposed amendment resulting from discussions held 7 October 2010. The proposed amendment appears to overcome previously cited prior art Gune. A search update beyond Gune revealed Adams US 7117165. A review of Adams by both parties indicated further consideration is required in an effort to potentially perfect claims for allowance or follow another course of action.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  

/Robert M. Pond/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)